IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA AIKEN DIVISION

David Clinton Burris,)
Plaintiff,) C/A No. 1:13-582-TMC
V.	OPINION & ORDER
York County; South Carolina Sheriff Bruce Bryant; and York County Detention Center,)))
Defendants.)

Plaintiff, David Clinton Burris ("Plaintiff"), an inmate proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* brings this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 73.02(B)(2)(d), D.S.C., all pre-trial proceedings were referred to a Magistrate Judge. On March 28, 2013, Magistrate Judge Shiva V. Hodges issued a Report and Recommendation ("Report") recommending that the Complaint be summarily dismissed without issuance and service of process. (ECF No. 9). The Magistrate Judge provided Plaintiff a notice advising him of his right to file objections to the Report. (ECF No. 9 at 7). Plaintiff filed objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report on May 21, 2012. (ECF. No. 12).

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to the court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). The court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The court is obligated to conduct a de novo review of every portion of the Magistrate Judge's report to which objections have been filed. *Id.* However, the court need not conduct a de novo review when a party makes only "general and conclusory objections that do not direct the court to a specific error in the magistrate's proposed findings and recommendations."

Orpiano v. Johnson, 687 F.2d 44, 47 (4th Cir. 1982). In the absence of a timely filed, specific

objection, the Magistrate Judge's conclusions are reviewed only for clear error. See Diamond

v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005).

The Magistrate Judge recommended that the Complaint be summarily dismissed

without issuance and service of process based upon the Plaintiff's failure to state a claim. As

noted above, Plaintiff filed objections to the Report which the Court has carefully reviewed.

However, the Plaintiff's objections provide no basis for this court to deviate from the Magistrate

Judge's recommended disposition.

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the

standard set forth above, the Court finds Plaintiff's objections are without merit. Accordingly,

the court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. It is therefore ORDERED that the

Complaint is summarily **DISMISSED without prejudice** and without issuance and service of

process.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Timothy M. Cain United States District Judge

May 3, 2013 Greenville, South Carolina

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified of the right to appeal this order pursuant to Rules 3 and

4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

2